

**I EUROPEAN CONFERENCE ON
SUSTAINABLE MOBILITY AT UNIVERSITIES**
UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA
MARCH 8TH – 10TH, 2017



EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE

Total assistants/speakers: 163

Total questionnaires filled: 37

Question 1

My general impression of the Conference was...

Poor	Fair	Neither	Good	Excellent
		3	26	8

Specific commentaries:

- 1- Taking into account the discourse of "sustainability", not only in the mobility but in all the aspects that comprise, in the commute could be made contributions like not using plastic water bottles, etc.
- 2- El panel final solo estaba compuesto por hombres, quizás hubiera sido interesante invitar mujeres expertas en el tema para contribuir también al debate.
- 3- Excellent: all examples from different places.
- 4- Days too long, should end earlier. Some speakers were just reading their presentation: hard to stay focused.
- 5- There were similar repeated experiences explained and little space to debate. Some speeches were not engaging (directly reading). However, I understand this is not a problem of the organization, it would be interesting to explain more the environment where the universities are located, comparing modal uses between city and university, and a little bit about the geography in general, to help have an idea on why are some mobility projects chosen.
- 6- I suggest a water dispenser and glasses rather than lots of small plastic bottles.

- 7- The bus shuttle service between the train station and the hotel is really not necessary; people used it just because it was there, but it doesn't benefit the whole event really as it comes with some irony.
- 8- Good organization; punctuality.
- 9- Well I was about surprised that were so many presentations were in Spanish. But translators do a brilliant job. Electrical sockets at tables would be nice, laptops needed to be recharged.
- 10- Participating universities should work out a strategic guideline plan comprehending technologies and proposals to be exported also outside the universities. More students to be involved.
- 11- Perhaps too many presentations. Some more interaction would have been better. Working and focus groups?
- 12- Seguramente no hacían falta tantos días y tantos ponentes que al final se repiten.
- 13- Very long days. Too much on same topics. Could have just a day on 4 different universities and cover all aspects of sustainable transport/mobility at those universities.
- 14- There was a mix of operational managers & theorists/academics. This was very unusual. The academics just wanted to have their voices heard and did not offer solutions to mobility issues. It was the first time I had attended a conference where students were present.
- 15- Some speakers were really bad in their explaining skills and their powerpoints were also bad-structured for a congress. Something needs to be done on these aspects, because sometimes three conferences in a row were desperately boring.

- 16- I have liked it was varied and every ponence was interesting. Always there is something, some idea, you find you may reflect at end try to apply it, somehow at your university...
- 17- Logistic concern: the instant translation is really annoying in terms of noise. I wasn't using the translation service but I still could heard it.

Question 2

The information received before the meeting was...

Poor	Fair	Neither	Good	Excellent
	1	1	26	9

Specific commentaries:

- 1- I only received one email (registration).
- 2- Very good organization.
- 3- Detailed information.
- 4- Maybe the timetable could have been released a bit earlier, so so as to plan travel. Will we get the presentations? Who attended? List before the conference could have been helpful.
- 5- María and Rafael provided excellent information.

Question 3

The methods used (e.g. presentations, debates, networking, etc...) within the meeting were...

Poor	Fair	Neither	Good	Excellent
	3	2	28	4

Specific commentaries:

1-Hubo muchas presentaciones excelentes que lograron transmitir un mensaje claro y conciso, sin embargo, algunas presentaciones no lograron hacer entender claramente la idea que quizás querían transmitir. Posiblemente eran buenas ideas, pero los esquemas de algunas presentaciones y sus expositores no transmitieron claramente dichas ideas.

2-Should be more interactive. Some presentations were a bit boring.

3-I think there should be a little more space for debate. There wasn't any time planned for questions.

4-The presentations were very focused on local details sometimes; it is more interesting really to learn about results, reflections, challenges, social problems, political processes...

5-I think that in general these methods are very good. However, there are people who do not respect times and it affects the rest of Congress.

6-The translation voices were too loud and everyone could hear it, being extremely annoying.

7-The paper&pens could have been from recycled material. It is a conference on sustainability. The conference name pass/name tag why is it plastic? Why can you use the roll up only once?

8-Social network communication to be implemented. Network overall contacts to be published.

9-Lot's of presentations in one day can be difficult to heed in time and does not give enough time for debates and interaction.

10-El formato presentaciones y debate con la participación de los oyentes es muy efectivo.

11-Too many presentations with not enough time given to the presentations. Too much academic theory against practical operations and implementation.

12-The time structure has not respected by most of the speakers. Only 3-4 speakers stucked with their times...Some speakers supposed to speak for 20' spend 37'! (I counted the time)

13-I would like to have some interactive...some workshop or something like that. Maybe an space where we, the audience, can be divided in groups, and share ideas.

Question 4

My own contribution to a successful meeting was...

Poor	Fair	Neither	Good	Excellent
4	2	8	16	

Specific commentaries:

- 1- I prefer let speak others!
- 2- None.
- 3- Keeping to time should have been more enforced. Speakers who had come a long way to attend were rushed through their presentation because others had taken too much time.

Question 5

For me and my work , these aspects of the Conference were the most important...

(Please, select the 3 more important for you)

Gain knowledge on sustainable mobility late research results		22
Get to know the late successful sustainable mobility practices		31
Network with other universities		22
Network with sustainable mobility companies		6
Network with other mobility stakeholders:		4
Get to know the opportunities offered by the European University Network for Sustainable Mobility		17
Visit the local area		1
Other, please indicate:		
	1: It's very important the applicability of the knowledge about mobility.	
	2: Benchmarking against what is happening across wider Europe.	

Question 6

The infrastructure provided was satisfactory (room arrangement, internet connection, etc.)...

Poor	Fair	Neither	Good	Excellent
		2	24	11

Specific commentaries:

- 1- Good catering.
- 2- I understand that the catering and some organization was prepared by an external company. But seeing that we are talking about sustainability maybe we should put it into practice (plastic bottles, disposable cutlery&plates,etc..)
- 3- However, Internet connection didn't work well.
- 4- Uncomfortable chairs.
- 5- Internet fantastic, translators too, but electrical sockets for charging devices during those long hours are a necessity these days. Sometimes the air conditioning was a bit cold. Food excellent.

Question 7

The topics covered by the programme were consistent with the objective of the Conference

Poor	Fair	Neither	Good	Excellent
		3	22	11

Specific commentaries:

- 1- Too much time consumed with local experiences and sponsors representations. Too little time for innovative experiences and practices.
- 2- Could be a bit more innovative - sometimes a lot of the same.
- 3- Lots of similar experiences that, at the end, do not tell the audience nothing new or relevant.
- 4- We should start thinking how to bring these arguments to students (know how transfer). In Luiss Rome University we have a helpdesk platform + tutorial weekly days for our project.
- 5- Too much load -> less time and less speakers would have been more effective.